| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | 09/966,497 | SOWDEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | _ |
| | Angela Ortiz | 1732 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | : | |
| (1) <u>Angela Ortiz</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) rep Crichton. | (4) | · | |
| Date of Interview: 28 April 2006 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | licant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | · | + | |
| Claims discussed: 1,21 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET Atty argued in the response that the nozzle is retracted and of positively claim this feature | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn | The examiner will provide a te record of the substance or | written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview | е |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| J. D. D. A. | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application | ant/Applicant's Representativ | ve Signature – if appropriate) | |